High-throughput sequencing reveals diverse oomycete communities in oligotrophic peat bog micro-habitat
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ABSTRACT

Oomycete diversity has been generally underestimated, despite their ecological and economic importance. Surveying unexplored natural ecosystems with up-to-date molecular diversity tools can reveal the existence of unsuspected organisms. Here, we have explored the molecular diversity of five microhabitats located in five different oligotrophic peat bogs in the Jura Mountains using a high-throughput sequencing approach (Illumina HiSeq 2500). We found a total of 34 different phylotypes distributed in all major oomycete clades, and comprising sequences affiliated to both well-known phylotypes and members of undescribed, basal clades. Parasitic species, including obligate forms were well-represented, and phylotypes related to highly damaging invasive pathogens (Aphanomyces astaci: X1100 and Saprolegnia parasitica: X1602) were retrieved. Microhabitats differed significantly in their community composition, and many phylotypes were strongly affiliated to free water habitats (pools). Our approach proved effective in screening oomycete diversity in the studied habitat, and could be applied systematically to other environments and other fungal and fungal-like groups.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd and British Mycological Society. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Oomycetes are a group of fungi-like stramenopiles which have a considerable impact on the economy through the pathogenic action of certain members. Indeed, they are responsible for major diseases in crops (Abad et al., 2014), forests (Rizzoto et al., 2005; Duran et al., 2010) and aquaculture (Phillips et al., 2008). Some emergent pathogens have been recently detected and are currently causing considerable losses in both agriculture (Kamoun et al., 2015) and fish farms (de la Bastide et al., 2015). In addition, oomycetes have an important impact on food webs through regulation of host populations (Duffy et al., 2015). However, their environmental diversity has been rarely studied specifically in natural ecosystems (Willoughby, 1962, 1978), with the consequence that potential threats are detected only after disease outbreaks. There is, therefore, a need for environmental surveys, with a particular focus on understudied systems. Peat bogs and other acidic and oligotrophic environments have not been surveyed for oomycetes (Lara and Belbahri, 2011). Peatlands are heterogeneous ecosystems traditionally divided into five microhabitats (pools, lawns, hummocks, Fen/Transition and forest; Rydin and Jeglum, 2013). Indeed, the low nutrient amount present in these systems has been thought insufficient to support the growth of such osmotrophic organisms. However, an environmental survey of eukaryotic genetic diversity has revealed the presence of oomycetes in a pristine oligotrophic peatland located in the Swiss Jura Mountains (Lara et al., 2011). Sequences found in the course of that study appeared to be divergent and possibly represented novel clades at the genus level at least.

High-throughput sequencing approaches are increasingly applied to monitor environmental diversity of eukaryotic organisms (Amaral Zettler et al., 2009; Lentendu et al., 2013; de Vargas et al., 2015). The immense amount of data generated allows drawing a more comprehensive picture of environmental diversity than earlier cloning and Sanger sequencing approaches (Lecroq et al., 2011). These approaches revealed novel deep and divergent
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lineages, as well as an unsuspected diversity within each established eukaryotic supergroup (de Vargas et al., 2015). Our knowledge of fungal diversity has also been challenged by the application of high-throughput sequencing approaches, revealing ancient groups that await description and characterization (Buée et al., 2009).

Oomycetes, although not belonging to Opisthokonta like true fungi (Ben Ali et al., 2002), share a lot of common traits with fungi. Most of the species described have been classified within two informal groups, the peronosporalean and the saprolegnialean ‘galaxies’ (Fuller and Jarowski, 1987). DNA based environmental surveys have shown that many more taxa, occupy basal positions within the oomycete tree (Lara and Belbahri, 2011; Steciow et al., 2013, 2014). The function of some of these organisms (obligate or facultative parasites; or strictly saprotrophic) has not been elucidated to date, and it is likely that they represent a novel diversity. By increasing the panel of surveyed environments, it is likely that novel organisms can be encountered. Peat bogs have, to date, never been investigated for oomycetes (Lara and Belbahri, 2011).

In this study, we applied a high-throughput sequencing approach (Illumina sequencing targeting the v9 region of the SSU rRNA gene) to characterize the environmental diversity of oomycetes in 65 samples from all microhabitats types across five peatlands located in the Jura Mountains (Switzerland and France). We surveyed their total diversity to evaluate how much is known of their environmental diversity, and also their presence and abundance in the different microhabitats to assess their preferred habitats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Studied areas and sampling strategy

The study was conducted in five Sphagnum-dominated peatlands located in the Jura Mountains (Switzerland and France). These sites are located at similar altitudes (900–1000 m a.s.l.), climatic conditions and geology. Five microhabitats were selected (Fig. 1) with contrasting vegetation and micro-topography and further characterized by chemical variables (nutrient content and pH). The vegetation composition was recorded at each sampling site in 1 m² plots. The central parts of the peatlands were ombrotrophic (i.e. rainwater fed) and open (i.e. no trees). Three of the microhabitats were located in the centre of the peatlands: hummocks (Fig. 1A) are characterized by a mound microtopography dominated by Sphagnum fuscum, low nutrient content and pH. Lawns (Fig. 1B), are flat habitats characterized by Sphagnum magellanicum and Eriophorum vaginatum. Pools (Fig. 1C), are depressions usually with standing water and dominated by Sphagnum

Fig. 1. The different peatland microhabitats. Each letter (except F) presents a general view of the habitat on the top, plus one detailed (small square = 50 cm and large square = 1 m) at the bottom. A: hummock, B: lawn, C: pool, D: forest, E: Fen/Transition and F: a general view of the center of the peatland with lawn, hummock and pool microhabitats. A to F from Edward A.D. Mitchell.
cuspidatum. These three microhabitats often lie close to each-other (Fig. 1F) (Pouliot et al., 2011; Marcisz et al., 2014). In addition, we studied two other habitats in the periphery and margin of the peatlands: i) tall pine forests (Fig. 1D) grown on thick peat but with lower water table levels and dominated by pine (*Pinus mugo* subsp. *uncinata*) and *Vaccinium* spp.; ii) poor fens in some cases with scattered birch trees, representing the buffer zone between the peatlands and the surrounding environments (in most cases hay meadows) (Fig. 1E). Nutrient content and pH are typically lower in the bog centre and pine forest than in the surrounding fen, but also decline from pool to hummock (Batzer and Baldwin, 2011) and this was indeed the case in our study (Table 1).

A total of 65 samples of *Sphagnum* spp. were collected using sterile equipment. To assess the intra-peat bog variation, five samples from each microhabitat were taken in ‘Le Cachot’ peat bog (coordinate: 47°0’19.99”N, 6°39’53.13”E). To test the variability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N [%] (min/</th>
<th>pH (min/</th>
<th>Water table depth</th>
<th>Tree cover</th>
<th>Microtopography</th>
<th>Characteristic bryophytes</th>
<th>Characteristic plants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>max/ average)</td>
<td>min/average)</td>
<td>[cm] (min/max/ average)</td>
<td>[0 – flat, 2 – mound)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hummock</td>
<td>0.3/0.7/0.5</td>
<td>3.8/4.1/4</td>
<td>26/73/42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Sphagnum fuscum, Polytrichum strictum</em></td>
<td><em>Eriophorum vaginatum, Vaccinium oxycoccos, Eriophorum vaginatum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawn</td>
<td>0.5/1.2/0.8</td>
<td>3.8/4.2/4</td>
<td>8/30/24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Sphagnum magellanicum</em></td>
<td><em>Eriophorum vaginatum, Trichophorum cespitosum, Andromeda polifolia, Drosera rotundifolia</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool</td>
<td>0.4/1.1/0.8</td>
<td>4/4.2/4.1</td>
<td>1/6/4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td><em>Sphagnum</em> cf. <em>cuspidatum</em></td>
<td><em>Schuchzeria palustris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>0.7/1.5/1</td>
<td>3.9/4/4</td>
<td>19/77/48</td>
<td>70–100%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Sphagnum</em> cf. <em>angustifolium</em>, <em>Sphagnum</em> cf. <em>capillifolium</em></td>
<td><em>Vaccinium uliginosum, Vaccinium vitis-idea</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition/Fen</td>
<td>0.7/1.4/1</td>
<td>3.9/4.7/4.2</td>
<td>10/51/35</td>
<td>ca. 1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td><em>Sphagnum</em> cf. <em>capillifolium</em>, <em>Sphagnum</em> cf. <em>fallax</em></td>
<td><em>Betula pubescens, Potentilla erecta, Viola palustris, Juncus sp., Carex sp.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

Principal physico-chemical parameters and most characteristic bryophytes and plants of each microhabitat.

**Fig. 2.** Distribution of oomycete phylotypes (% of total) in the different microhabitats of the studied peatlands.
among peatlands two samples of each microhabitat were taken in four other peatlands (‘Praz-Rodet’ bog, 46°33’54.37"N, 6°10’20.37"E, ‘Pontins’ bog 47°7’38.41"N, 6°59’20.63"E, ‘Etang de la Gruyère’ peat bog 47°14’22.46"N, 7°2’58.13"E and ‘Frasne’ bog 46°49’51.45"N, 6°9’34.58"E).

2.2. PCR and sequencing

Environmental DNA was extracted using a MoBio Power Soil™ DNA isolation kit (Carlsbad, CA USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR protocols targeting the SSU rRNA gene V9 region (amplicon size about 180bp) of eukaryotes was done according to Amaral-Zettler et al. (2009). Sequencing was performed with an Illumina’s HiSeq 2500, using V3 chemistry (Fasteris, Geneva, Switzerland).

2.3. Sequences processing

Quality check (Phred score filtering, elimination of reads without perfect forward and reverse primers, and chimera removal) of the sequences was performed following the pipeline developed by de Vargas et al. (2015). Sequences were performed with an Illuma’s HiSeq 2500, using V3 chemistry (Fasteris, Geneva, Switzerland).

2.4. Data analysis

To determine if there is a significant effect of the microhabitat type or the sampling site on the oomycete community composition, we performed a permutation test using the ‘enviR’ function on a NMDS of the R programs (Vegan package: Dixon, 2003). Hierarchical clustering analysis was made with a Bray-Curtis distance matrix of a Hellinger transformation matrix of the oomycete community composition. A heatmap was computed using the ‘heatmapper’ function of the gplots package (Warnes et al., 2015).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Oomycete diversity in Swiss Jura peatlands

Oomycetes were present in all 65 samples, and represented about 3% (107932 sequences) of all microbial eukaryote sequences (4223719 sequences) obtained in the whole study. We detected a high diversity with 34 phylotypes (of 5652 in total) of oomycetes, and samples varying from 4 to 21 phylotypes (average 16). Culture-based studies targeting soils mentioned up to about 10 species for individual samples (Bahramisharif et al., 2014), which suggests...
similar amounts of diversity. The order of magnitude of total diversity reached here was in the same order of magnitude with the 69 oomycete phylotypes found in the TARA project, which encompassed 334 size fractionated samples from marine plankton originating from tropical to temperate oceans worldwide (de Vargas et al., 2015). Obtained phylotypes were often highly divergent: we detected only 3 phylotypes that matched with 100% identity to barcoded taxa (namely Peronospora schachtii; X1510, Phytophthora sp.; X1794 and Saprolegnia parasitica; X1602). In contrast, up to 70% of all phylotypes had less than 98% similarity and, therefore, represented putative new genera, or deeper clades. The most abundant phylotype, X9, could not be placed with confidence within Saprolegniales or Peronosporales, and belongs to one of the deep basal lineages collectively designated as oomycete basal clades; known species from these basal lineages are animal and brown algae parasites (Lara and Belbahri, 2011). However, the great diversity of basal oomycetes may possibly host other lifestyles that have still not been investigated. This illustrates the potential for the discovery of a novel diversity in peatlands.

Phylootypes were not distributed randomly in all microhabitats (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). While communities were not significantly different between peatlands (p > 0.05), we found that communities were significantly separated in function of their original microhabitat (p = 0.001). Specific richness did not differ significantly between microhabitats (pairwise Tukey test p = 0.97). However, communities of all microhabitats except pools were largely dominated by phylotype X9 (Fig. 2), an unidentified, basal branching organism. In contrast, highly represented phylotypes in pools included X102 and X145 (an unidentified Saprolegniales).

Peat bogs host a high number of pathogenic oomycetes. We detected 5 phylotypes out of 34 belonging to obligate parasitic genera (namely Haptopoglossa; X1167) for animal parasites and Albugo (X1632) and Peronospora (X1510) for plants. These obligate plant parasites are probably infecting the vascular plants present in the peat bogs. Phylotype X1510 corresponded 100% to Peronospora schachtii (GenBank affiliation: KF888598), a sugar beet pathogen. However, the V9 region of the SSU rRNA gene is a conserved marker and the corresponding sequence can be discriminated only by 1 bp from P. effusa, a spinach pathogen which is not closely related in multigene phylogenies (Choi et al., 2015). While the organism from which this sequence derived belongs to a diverse cluster that infects, as far as it is known, only plants from the order Caryophyllales (Thines and Choi, 2016), further analyses will be required to determine the exact host. Drosera rotundifolia, is a member of the Caryophyllales and is common in the studied peat bogs; it could be a good candidate as a host for phylotype X1510. Moreover, these plants are most commonly found in the peat bog microhabitat where X1510 was most common (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the Albugo sequence (X1632) is not closely related to any barcoded species; we assume that it may derive from any vascular plant of the bog. To our knowledge, bog plant oomycete parasites have never been surveyed. Furthermore, other members of the Lagidium/Albugo clade, as well as basal phylotypes may also be obligate (See Supplementary material). Facultative parasitic organisms are potentially very common, as several genera have been detected (Phytophthora (X1794 and X2201), Lagidium (X2385, X3084, X3083), Saprolegnia (X1602), Aphanomyces (X1100, X1447) and Akinhsiella (X2836)). The phylotype X1100 is closely related to both Aphanomyces astaci and a copepod parasite from the same genus (Wolinska et al., 2009). It is, therefore, most likely infecting the crustaceans that belong to the peatlands natural fauna (cladocerans, cyclopoid and harpacticoid copepods). Peatland inhabiting organisms may act as reservoirs for economically relevant pathogens such as members of genus Aphanomyces. Other oomycete genera are likely pathogens of the peatland microfauna; Lagidium and Leptoglossia infect arthropods. Phylotype X2836 is closely related (98% similarity) to the marine crustacean parasite Atkin-siella dubia (AB284575), and may also infect the crustaceans present in the peatland; however, it may belong to another genus. Haptopoglossa (X1617) infects nematodes, also very common in peat bogs (Glockling and Serpell, 2010). Saprolegnia (X1602) is a wide spectrum animal parasite (Sarowar et al., 2014). Genus Pythium (X570, X716, X1311, X1846 and X2835) comprises both animal and plant parasites (Lara and Belbahri, 2011; Liu et al., 2014), fungal parasites (Benhamou et al., 2012; Horner et al., 2012) and also saprotopholic members (Kwasna et al., 2010).

We have demonstrated here that acidic, oligotrophic environments such as peat bogs host a wide diversity of oomycetes, some of them representing well studied taxa while others are basal branching and remain to be characterized morphologically and placed phylogenetically. Our approach using ‘universal’ eukaryotic primers may have been key to the detection of these basal clades which would not have been detected by using an oomycete targeted approach. These basal groups are crucial for building comprehensive systematic and phylogenetic concepts about oomycetes and towards understanding their evolution as a group. Furthermore, understanding their life history will lead to the evaluation of their impact on foodwebs and regulation of their hosts’ population if they are pathogens. For this purpose, in situ hybridization methods can be applied to characterize morphologically organisms from which only SSU rRNA genes are available, as already applied to the parasitic group Cryptomycota (Jones et al., 2011).
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